

## File No. 567678 File No.:A-26002/1/2022-O/o DS Admin I-FMDA Faridabad Metropolitan Development Authority SCO No. 28-33, Sector-12, Faridabad

## ORDER

Sh. Sumit Kumar, H.C. S, Joint Chief Executive Officer, Faridabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Faridabad Is hereby designated 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority under RTI Act-2005 to decide the RTI appeals under section 19 (1) in FMDA from the date of issuance of these orders under RTI Act – 2005..

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.

(Arvind Mukherjee)

SPIO-cum- Deputy Secretary (Admin)

**FMDA** 

Endst.: No. FMDA/2024/ 1158-62

Dated. 8-7-24

A copy is forwarded to the following for information & further necessary action please.

- 1. The Additional Chief Executive Officer (I), FMDA.
- 2. Additional Chief Executive Officer (II), FMDA.
- 3. Joint Chief Executive Officer, FMDA.
- 4. All Heads of Divisions of FMDA.
- 5. PS to W/CEO.

(Arvind Mukherjee)

SPIO-cum- Deputy Secretary (Admin)

**FMDA** 

5 of 1908

- (3) The Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, shall, while inquiring into any matter under this section, have the same powers as are vested in a civil court while trying a suit under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, in respect of the following matters, namely:—
  - (a) summoning and enforcing the attendance of persons and compel them to give oral or written evidence on oath and to produce the documents or things;
  - (b) requiring the discovery and inspection of documents;
  - (c) receiving evidence on affidavit;
  - (d) requisitioning any public record or copies thereof from any court or office;
  - (e) issuing summons for examination of witnesses or documents; and
  - (f) any other matter which may be prescribed.
- (4) Notwithstanding anything inconsistent contained in any other Act of Parliament or State Legislature, as the case may be, the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, may, during the inquiry of any complaint under this Act, examine any record to which this Act applies which is under the control of the public authority, and no such record may be withheld from it on any grounds.

Appeal

19. (I) Any person who, does not receive a decision within the time specified in subsection (I) or clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 7, or is aggrieved by a decision of the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, may within thirty days from the expiry of such period or from the receipt of such a decision prefer an appeal to such officer who is senior in rank to the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer as the case may be, in each public authority:

Provided that such officer may admit the appeal after the expiry of the period of thirty days if he or she is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the appeal in time.

- (2) Where an appeal is preferred against an order made by a Central Public Information Officer or a State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, under section 11 to disclose third party information, the appeal by the concerned third party shall be made within thirty days from the date of the order.
- (3) A second appeal against the decision under sub-section (1) shall lie within ninety days from the date on which the decision should have been made or was actually received, with the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission:

Provided that the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, may admit the appeal after the expiry of the period of ninety days if it is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the appeal in time.

- (4) If the decision of the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, against which an appeal is preferred relates to information of a third party, the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, shall give a reasonable opportunity of being heard to that third party.
- (5) In any appeal proceedings, the onus to prove that a denial of a request was justified shall be on the Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, who denied the request.
- (6) An appeal under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) shall be disposed of within thirty days of the receipt of the appeal or within such extended period not exceeding a total of forty-five days from the date of filing thereof, as the case may be, for reasons to be

recorded in writing.

- (7) The decision of the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, shall be binding.
- (8) In its decision, the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, has the power to—
- (a) require the public authority to take any such steps as may be necessary to secure compliance with the provisions of this Act, including—
  - (i) by providing access to information, if so requested, in a particular form;
  - (ii) by appointing a Central Public Information Officer or State Public Information Officer, as the case may be;
  - (iii) by publishing certain information or categories of information;
  - (iv) by making necessary changes to its practices in relation to the maintenance, management and destruction of records;
  - (v) by enhancing the provision of training on the right to information for its officials;
  - (vi) by providing it with an annual report in compliance with clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 4;
- (b) require the public authority to compensate the complainant for any loss or other detriment suffered;
  - (c) impose any of the penalties provided under this Act;
  - (d) reject the application.
- (9) The Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, shall give notice of its decision, including any right of appeal, to the complainant and the public authority.
- (10) The Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, shall decide the appeal in accordance with such procedure as may be prescribed.

Penalties

20. (1) Where the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, at the time of deciding any complaint or appeal is of the opinion that the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, has, without any reasonable cause, refused to receive an application for information or has not furnished information within the time specified under sub-section (1) of section 7 or malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect, incomplete or misleading information or destroyed information which was the subject of the request or obstructed in any manner in furnishing the information, it shall impose a penalty of two hundred and fifty rupees each day till application is received or information is furnished, so however, the total amount of such penalty shall not exceed twenty-five thousand rupees:

Provided that the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be, shall be given a reasonable opportunity of being heard before any penalty is imposed on him:

Provided further that the burden of proving that he acted reasonably and diligently shall be on the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case may be.

(2) Where the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, at the time of deciding any complaint or appeal is of the opinion that the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer, as the case